Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/493,750	OJHA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert M. Pond	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) Robert M. Pond.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Jonathan O Owens, #37,902.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 April 2008</u>	Time: <u>4PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Draft action.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 1, 25-27 and 32		
Claims discussed: 1, 25-27 and 32		
Prior art documents discussed: Walker (US 6754636)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Reviewed draft action (reinterpretatin of Walker); discussed potential allowable subject matter.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Attachement: Interview correspondence 04_16_08 /Robert M. Pond/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625		
	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)